Owner / Applicant Information					
Jason Fenwick					
5140 N POST RD.					
LAWRENCE IN 46226					
Phon∈ 3175424441					
Email JFENWICK@CITYOFLAWRENCE.ORG					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash Street					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Eric Weflen					
RQAW Consulting Engineers & Architects 8770 North St.					
8770 NOTH St.					
Fishers IN					
Phone 3175881798					
Email eweflen@rqaw.com					
Project Information					
Lawrence Police Department 5140 N. Post Rd.					
5140 N. FOSI KU.					
Lawrence IN 46226					
County MARION					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
<u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3173150554 Email: jkopetsky@cityoflawrence.org					
Local Fire Official   Phone:   3173150554   Email:   egamble@cityoflawrence.org					

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	: ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.  Please reference variance #14-05-04						

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5					
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.					
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:						
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.					
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.					

Variance Details