

Owner / Applicant Information

Paul Bongen

8211 SCICOR DR.

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46214

Phone 3174539529

Email PAUL.BONGEN@COVANCE.COM

Submitter Information

Donna Willis
thyssenkrupp Elevator
8665 Bash Street

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178417321

Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Jason Soderlund
BSA Life Structures
9365 Counselors Row

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178192256

Email jsoderlund@bsalifestructures.com

Project Information

Covance Gallery Infill
8211 Scicor Dr.

Indianapolis IN 46214

County MARION

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.5

Conditions: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.4

Conditions: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.1

Conditions: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty)

because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.
--

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8

Conditions:

This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1
2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.
--