

Owner / Applicant Information

Ray Dannemiller
Parkway Construction
1000 CIVIC CIRCLE

LEWISVILLE TX 75067

Phone 2144229952

Email RDANNEMILLER@PKWYCON.COM

Submitter Information

Daniel Farnsworth
Halo Fire Protection, LLC
4811 E Julep St Ste 124

Mesa IN

Phone 4803328762

Email nora@halofireaz.com

Designer Information

Gary McDaniel
Halo Fire Protection, LLC
4811 E Julep St Ste 124

Mesa AZ

Phone 4803252280

Email nora@halofireaz.com

Project Information

At Home Indianapolis
3015 W 86th St

INDIANAPOLIS AZ 46268

County MARION

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2014 IFC
901.6

Conditions:

The total building is approx 135k sq ft and was a big box retail with some rack sprinkler systems. Athome will occupy approx 100k sq ft of the building, leaving the remaining portion unoccupied but fully protected by a sprinkler system. The plans for that work have already been approved. We just need, per Sam Bruner, a variance to remove two old racking risers no longer in use.

Prior to Athome taking over the facility, the racking was removed but the old risers were left in place. Sam Bruner said he would like to see the old risers remover as they are no longer in service. We did not remove the old racking or sprinklers to them.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

Sam Bruner from Pike Township FD told us to file a variance to remove the in rack fire system riser that is no longer in use. Cap off the riser so the equipment does not have to be maintained.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

Sam Bruner from Pike Township FD told us to file a variance to remove the in rack fire system riser that is no longer in use. Cap off the riser so the equipment does not have to be maintained. (Not sure which one should be checked)