Owner / Applicant Information Ryan Wells REI Real Estate Services, LLC 11711 North Pennsylvania Stree Suite 200 Carmel IN 46032 Phon€ 3175736043
Email rwells@REIRealEstate.com
Submitter Information Melissa Tupper RTM Consultants, Inc. 6640 Parkdale Place Suite J Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3173297700
Email tupper@rtmconsultants.com
Designer Information Warren J. Foster Gary Lee Partners 833 N. Orleans Street Suite 400 Chicago IL
Phone 3126408371
Email warrenfoster3301@comcast.net
Project Information Faegre Baker Daniels Tenant Interior Reno 300 N. Meridian St 20-25 and Lower Level INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204
County MARION
Project Type New Addition Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy Y
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building OfficialPhone:3173278700Email:planreview.class1@indy.govLocal Fire Officialphone:3173278700Email:margie.bovard@indy.gov

Variance Details Other Code (Not in the list provided) Code Name: 2014 IBC, 1004.1 The code requires the total occupant load of the floor be determined by diving the floor area Conditions: by the occupant load factor assigned to the use of that space. The code requires that the floor be calculated for simultaneous uses of the entire floor, not based upon actual or nonsimultaneous uses. The variance request is to limit the occupant load of the 25th floor to 350 based upon maximum actual occupant load, not calculated. The existing building is classified as a B Occupancy, with the 25th floor being classified as an A-3/B Occupancy. The building is 27-stories in height, and Type IA construction. DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). 1. The building is sprinklered throughout in accordance with NFPA 13. Facts: 2. The building has an existing fire alarm system throughout. 3. The tenant will limit the occupant load of the space to 350, this is based upon the number of maximum actual occupants that will occupy this floor. 4. The exit width provided will accommodate 440 occupants. 5. The existing floor assemblies are 2-hour rated construction, the structural frame and bearing walls are 3-hour rated construction. DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	Compliance with the calculated occupant load of the floor for simultaneous uses would require the existing doors to the existing stairs to be enlarged as well as additional doors to be added, to accommodate the exit with capacity required based upon the calculated occupant load.