

Owner / Applicant Information

David Moore
FAR, LLC
205 N COLLEGE
SUITE 510
BLOOMINGTON IN 47404
Phone 8126061228
Email DACMOORE3@COMCAST.NET

Submitter Information

Timothy Callas
J & T Consulting, LLC.
8220
Rob Lane
INDIANAPOLIS IN

Phone 3178894300
Email tcallas@jtconsult.us

Designer Information

Paul Domenic Puzzello
Puzzello Architecture Practice
3801 N Meridian Street
suite 902
Indianapolis IN

Phone 3175047183
Email ppuzzello@gmail.com

Project Information

Pictura Gallery Event Center
204 S Rogers St 505 W 4th St

BLOOMINGTON IN 47404

County MONROE

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2014 IBC 1008.1.2

Conditions: An existing event center will have the existing deck gathering area fenced in for security purposes and will have one of the required 2 exits with a door swing not in the direction of travel.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The building is protected with a fire suppression system per NFPA 13, 2010 Edition.
2. The building is provided with a fire alarm system as required.
3. The deck area is provided with two sets of double doors that swing in the direction of travel, but are not separated by 1/2 the overall diagonal distance apart. There are two other sets of double doors that lead back into the building that do not swing in the direction of travel.

The calculated occupant load is 56.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner's undue hardship involves the need to secure entry off the deck back into the building. The deck sits on a narrow parcel of property that doesn't provide enough width to accommodate the required 1/2 overall diagonal separation.