David Cooper Community Reinvestment Foundationf 44 N. GIRL'S SCHOOL RD.
44 N. GIRL'S SCHOOL RD.
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46214
Phon∈ 3175542100
Email DCOOPER@CRF.NET
Email Bood End and Inc.
<u>Submitter Information</u>
Melissa Tupper
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale Place
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3173297700
Email tupper@rtmconsultants.com
Designer Information
Robert Stephen Bray
American Structurepoint, Inc.
7260 Shadeland Station
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3175475580
Email rbray@structurepoint.com
Linaii Torayesii actarepointi.com
Project Information
Glasswater Creek of Plainfield
10480 Glasswater Ln
To loo elassivator En
PLAINFIELD IN 46231
County HENDRICKS
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?
20.10.
<u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA
Local Building Official
Local Building Official Phone: 3178392561 Email: erudolphi@town.plainfield.in.us
Local Building Official

Variance	Dataila
Variance	Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IBC, 714.4.1.2

Conditions:

Facts:

The variance request is to permit the ceiling membrane of the 1-hour rated horizontal assemblies to be interrupted with the double wood top plate of a wall assembly that is sheathed with Type X gypsum wallboard as permitted in the 2015 edition of the International Building Code. The current edition permits the ceiling membrane of a 1-hour rated horizontal assembly with the double wood top plate of a wall, but the wall is required to be rated.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

A double wood top plate wall assembly sheathed with Type X gypsum board has been determined to be an acceptable level of protection when penetrating the ceiling membrane of a 1 or 2-hour rated horizontal assembly per the Significant Changes to the International Building Code 2015 Edition, see attached.

What is proposed is not adverse to public health, safety, or welfare based upon the reasoning for the change in the code.

This variance was granted for 17-08-41(g).

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	The hardship is the difficulty in the constructability of the project. The floor and wall assemblies are constructed prior to the insulation of drywall using normal construction methods. In order to comply with code either the drywall would have to be hung on the

upgraded to 1-hour rated assemblies when not otherwise required by code.

ceilings before the interior walls are constructed or all of the interior walls would have to be