Owner /	Applicant	<u>Information</u>

Paul Bongen Covance Laboratories 8211 SCICOR DRIVE

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240

Phon€ 3172093356

Email PAUL.BONGEN@COVANCE.COM

Submitter Information

Edwin Rensink RTM Consultants Inc 6640 Parkdale Place

Indianaplis IN

Phon€ 3173297700

Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

JAMES BREWER HILL BSA Lifestructures 9365 Counselors Row

Indianapolis IN

Phon€ 3178197878

Email jhill@bsalifestructures.com

Project Information
Covance Nugent Gallery Infill
8211 Scicor Dr
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240
County MARION
Project Type New Addition Y Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Phone: 3173275544 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov
Local Fire Official
Phone: 3173275544 Email: randy.gulley@waynetwp.org

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

3311.2, 2014 IFC

Conditions: Existing means of egress will be altered during the construction of the 2nd floor infill addition to the building. The existing west exterior exit will be closed during construction, resulting in a maximum egress travel distance of 338 feet from a portion of the existing office area - exceeding the permitted 300 feet. The egress route from the 2nd floor will be maintained via an interior stair, but will be diverted to the east exterior exit. The project involves the construction of a 2nd floor lab/office area within an existing 2-story tall space, as well as renovation of the associated 1st floor area for use as conference rooms. The project is scheduled for completion on May 22, 2019, at which time all permanent egress conditions will be restored.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).
- Facts: 1. The building is provided with automatic sprinkler protection, which will be maintained in occupied areas during construction.

2. Egress signage will be revised as necessary to indicate the revised egress paths.

3. The 2nd floor area in question is primarily an employee-occupied area, with limited visitors.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

		٦

1

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y	

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

ſ	Υ	
L		

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.



Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure



Imposition of the rule would require vacating significant portions of the existing building for the duration of the project.