Owner / Applicant Information Brown Jennifer
222 NW 6TH ST.
EVANSVILLE IN 47708
Phon∈ 8124926701
Email brown@ymcaswin.org
Submitter Information TERA KING
TERA KING
6630 FRITO LAY DR
EVANSVILLE IN
Phon∈ 8124759169
Email TERA.KING@THYSSENKRUPP.COM
<u>Designer Information</u>
TIMOTHY TOWNSEND PCI SKANSKA
112 INGLE ST
EVANSVILLE IN
Phon∈ 8124527910
Email TIM.TOWNSEND@SKANSKA.COM
Draiget Information
Project Information YMCA Downtown Development
222 NW 6th St.
Evansville IN 47708
County VANDERBURGH
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F = Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Local Building Official

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>	
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)	
	ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.5	
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007	
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.	
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:		
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w	
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).	
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007	
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.	
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.	
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.	
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.	
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure	
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007	
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.	

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>		
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)		
	ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8		
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.unlocking zone.		
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:			
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w		
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).		
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.		
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardehin (unusual difficulty)		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.		
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.		
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure		
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.		
<u>Variance Details</u>			
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)		
	ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.1		
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007		
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.		
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:			
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w		
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).		
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007		

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
	Please reference variance #14-05-04.