

Owner / Applicant Information

Brown Jennifer

222 NW 6TH ST.

EVANSVILLE IN 47708

Phone 8124926701

Email brown@ymcaswin.org

Submitter Information

TERA KING

6630 FRITO LAY DR

EVANSVILLE IN

Phone 8124759169

Email TERA.KING@THYSSENKRUPP.COM

Designer Information

TIMOTHY TOWNSEND

PCI SKANSKA

112 INGLE ST

EVANSVILLE IN

Phone 8124527910

Email TIM.TOWNSEND@SKANSKA.COM

Project Information

YMCA Downtown Development

222 NW 6th St.

Evansville IN 47708

County VANDERBURGH

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.5

Conditions: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007 3.26.8

Conditions:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.unlocking zone.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

ASME A17.1 2007 3.19.4.1

Conditions:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

ASME A17.1 2007

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.
Please reference variance #14-05-04.