

Owner / Applicant Information

P.K. Patel  
Indiana University  
1800 NORTH RANGE ROAD  
  
BLOOMINGTON IN 47408  
Phone 8128557894  
Email PPATEL@IU.EDU

Submitter Information

Ralph Gerdes  
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC  
5510 S. East St.  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3177873750  
Email Ralph@rgc-codes.com

Designer Information

Alan Tucker  
CSO Architects  
8831 Keystone Crossing  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3178487800  
Email atucker@CSOinc.net

Project Information

IU McNutt Dining Addition & Renovation  
1101 N Fee Lane

Bloomington IN 47406

County MONROE

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  No Has Violation been Issued?  No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
2014 InBC, 508.4

Conditions: Addition Groups A-2/M occupancy will not have occupancy separation from remainder of the building (A-2, S-1, S-2, R-2, and B occupancy). Mixed occupancy building with open stairs and one (1) hour structure will not have fire ratings for separated uses. Code requires some two (2) hour separations in nonsprinklered building.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Ground Floor and First Floor will be sprinklered per NFPA 13.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Cost of providing fire barriers or sprinklering entire building is the hardship.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, 903.2.1.2

Conditions: Two (2) story addition of approx 22,423 sq.ft. increases existing fire area, requiring entire building to be sprinklered. The partial third and fourth floor areas will not be sprinklered.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The Ground Floor and First Floor will be sprinklered per NFPA 13.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Cost of sprinklering upper floors, where no work is planned, is the hardship.

Variance Details

Code Name: 12-4-12 Existing Buildings; Additions or Alterations

12(f)(3)

Conditions: Existing four (4) story dining, classroom, apartment and storage building will have an addition on the first two (2) levels of the building. Ground Floor addition will be approx 12,000 sq.ft. and First Floor will be approx 11,000 sq.ft. The additons will bring the building into noncompliance with current allowable floor area and height for a non-sprinklered building. First floor will be approx 39,000 sq.ft. with 16,625 sq.ft. permitted for Type IIA (1 hour)

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. First two (2) floor levels will be sprinklered per NFPA 13.  
2. Addition will match existing Type IIA (1 hour) construction.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

#### Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
2014 InBC, Table 1018.1

Conditions:

#### DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

#### DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: