

Owner / Applicant Information

Chad Bosler  
SMC Corporation of America  
10100 SMC BLVD  
  
NOBLESVILLE IN 46060  
Phone 3176880176  
Email CBOSLER@SMCUSA.COM

Submitter Information

Timothy J & T Consulting Callas  
J & T Consulting, LLC  
8220  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3178894300  
Email tcallas@jtconsult.us

Designer Information

Shawn Michael Curran  
Curran Architecture  
5719 Lawton Loop East Drive  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3172880681  
Email scurran@curran-architecture.com

Project Information

SMC of America Distribution Center  
10650 SMC Blvd

NOBLESVILLE IN 46060

County HAMILTON

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☒ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
2014 IFC 3206.6.1.1

Conditions: An existing S-1 Occupancy, of 1,003,839 square feet warehouse facility will have a fire department access door greater than the maximum permitted 200 feet. After renovations of the exterior the new door location will be 238'-4". This was discussed with the fire department and they do not oppose the variance request. Refer to attached email of description of issue and discussion between fire department and Architect.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The existing building is protected with an ESFR sprinkler system per NFPA 13.  
2. The purpose of the new door location is part of the connection to the new addition and to omit an exterior stair that would block access for maintenance crews to exterior lighting and general maintenance.  
3. The fire department does not oppose the variance see attached email.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The owner's undue hardship involves the new location of the door would be elevated and require a stair. Moving the door to the door places the door at grade level without a stair, but extends the distance beyond 200 feet. With the stair in place would prohibit the maintenance operations.