

Owner / Applicant Information

Carolene Mays-Medley
White River State Park Development Commission
801 WEST WASHINGTON STREET

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

Phone 3172332427

Email CMAYS-MEDLEY@WRSP.IN.GOV

Submitter Information

Edwin Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Dandridge D White
Axis Architecture + Interiors
618 East Market Street

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173628162

Email amoore@axisarch.com

Project Information

White River State Park Concert Venue Restrooms
801 West Washington Street

Indianapolis IN 46204

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☒ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2010 Indiana Energy Conservation Code (675 IAC 19-4)

4.1.1.2

Conditions: The seasonal portion of the restroom addition will not be designed to comply with the Energy Code. Based upon the provision of intermittent seasonal conditioning of these areas, full compliance with the Energy Code is required. The building envelope will not meet prescriptive requirements of the Energy Code, nor pass Comcheck.

The 4,600 sq ft addition will provide additional restrooms to serve the outdoor concert venue at White River State Park.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Small conditioning units will be used to provide minimal heat intermittently during seasonal periods as needed for the seasonal portion of the structure.

Due to the very minimal energy usage, lack of compliance with the Energy Code will not be adverse to public welfare.

Similar variances have been granted, including 11-05-17, 11-06-53, 11-07-21, 13-04-22, and 13-11-61c, 16-03-18, 16-03-55, 16-04-46, 16-05-9, 16-05-11, 16-06-19, 17-10-12, 17-12-60, and others.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- ☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The hardship is cost to provide a fully compliant design to meet the Energy Code for a building with very minimal energy usage. The payback in Energy savings would not be realized within the expected life of the building.