Owner / Applicant Information
Joe Palmer
City of Frankfort Redevelopment Authority
301 EAST CLINTON AVENUE
FRANFORT IN 46041
Phon∈ 7656508050
Email JOEPALMER2121@GMAIL.COM
Submitter Information
Edwin Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place
Indianaplis IN
Phone 3173297700
Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com
Designer Information
Dandridge D White
Axis Architecture + Interiors
618 East Market Street
Indianapolis IN
Phone 3173628162
Email amoore@axisarch.com
Project Information
Prairie Creek Park
260 East Washington Street
Frankfort IN 46041
County CLINTON
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Phone: 7656596302 Email: spayne@frankfort- in.gov
Local Fire Official Phone: 7656596302 Email: jkirby@frankfort- in.gov
FITOTIE: 7030370302 ETHAII. JKII DY@HAHKIOH - HI.gov

Variance Details

Code Name: 2010 Indiana Energy Conservation Code (675 IAC 19-4)

4.1.1.1

Conditions:

The Utility Room/Restroom associated with the outdoor stage structure and Ticket Booth will not be designed to comply with the Energy Code. Based upon the provision of intermittent seasonal conditioning of these areas, full compliance with the Energy Code is required. The building envelope will not meet prescriptive requirements of the Energy Code, nor pass Comcheck.

The project involves development of a downtown Frankfort site for a public park, including an Event space build-out within an existing parking structure, an outdoor performance venue, and other support structures and features.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or $\ensuremath{\mathbf{w}}$

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Small heating units will be used to provide minimal heat intermittently during seasonal periods as needed for these structures.

Due to the small size of the structures involved and very minimal energy usage, lack of compliance with the Energy Code will not be adverse to public welfare.

Similar variances have been granted, including 11-05-17, 11-06-53, 11-07-21, 13-04-22, and 13-

11-61c, 16-03-18, 16-03-55, 16-04-46, 16-05-9, 16-05-11, 16-06-19, and others.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURES

LIVIONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	The hardship is cost to provide a fully compliant design to meet the Energy Code for a building with very minimal energy usage. The payback in Energy savings would not be realized within the expected life of the building.