Owner / Applicant Information					
Art Poly					
10706 SKY PRAIRIE STREET					
FISHERS IN 46038					
Phon∈ 3178453410					
Email scalderon@ahepahousing.org					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street					
8000 BASII SII eei					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
<u>Designer Information</u>					
Thad Gleason					
Gleason Architects 769 Heartland Dr.					
709 Heartiand Dr.					
Sugar Grove IL					
Phon∈ 6304668740					
Email thadgleason@gleasonarchitectspc.com					
Project Information					
Hellenic Senior Living					
2520 Bypass Rd.					
Elkhart IN					
County ELKHART					
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 5742945471 Email: crystal.welsh@coei.org					
Local Fire Official Phone: 5742945471 Email: rob.mcclintic@elkhartfire.org					
THORE. 3742743471 LITIAII. TOD.ITICCIII (III e. Org					

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						

Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						

Variance Details