Owner / Applicant Information						
Art Poly						
10706 SKY PRAIRIE STREET						
FISHERS IN 46038						
Phon∈ 3178453410						
Email scalderon@ahepahousing.org						
Submitter Information						
Donna Willis						
thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street						
Indianapolis IN						
Phonε 3178417321						
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com						
Designer Information						
Thad Gleason Gleason Architects						
769 Heartland Dr.						
Sugar Grove IL						
Phon∈ 6304668740						
Email thadgleason@gleasonarchitectspc.com						
Project Information						
Hellenic Senior Living						
2520 Bypass Rd.						
Elkhart IN						
County ELKHART						
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 5742945471 Email: crystal.welsh@coei.org Local Fire Official						
Phone: 5742945471 Email: rob.mcclintic@elkhartfire.org						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	e: Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						

Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04.						

Variance Details