Owner / Applicant Information					
Tony Knoble Minnie Hartmann Center, LP 2807 E 10TH STREET					
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46201					
Phon∈ 3179416327					
Email TONY@TWGDEV.COM					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
<u>Designer Information</u>					
Sam Foster Miller					
Cripe 3939 Priority Way S Dr					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3177066314					
Email smiller@cripe.biz					
Project Information					
Minnie Hartmann Center					
3734 E Vermont Street					
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46201					
County MARION					
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3173275544 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov					
Local Fire Official Phone: 3173275544 Email: margie.bovard@indy.gov					

<u>Variance Details</u>								
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	3.26.8							
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04							
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:								
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w							
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).							
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04							
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.							
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.							
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure							
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04							

<u>Variance Details</u>							
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
1	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						