Owner / Applicant Information					
Peggy Jackson Hamilton Heights School Corp 410 W MAIN ST					
ARCADIA IN 46030					
Phone 3179843528					
Email PJACKSON@HHSCHUSKIES.ORG					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator 8665 Bash Street					
0003 Basii Sireet					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Stuart Matthew Godfrey					
krM Architecture+					
1020 Jackson St					
Anderson IN					
Phon∈ 7656498477					
Email sgodfrey@krmarchitecture.com					
Draiget Information					
Project Information  Hamilton Heights SC Project Vision DH 1 MS					
Hamilton Heights SC Project Vision PH 1 MS 25150 SR 19					
ARCADIA IN					
County HAMILTON					
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 3177742559 Email: Chuck.kiphart@hamiltoncounty.in.gov					
Local Fire Official Phone: 3177742559 Email: jgreeson@dhs.in.gov					
Jaresone drishinger					

<u>Variance Details</u>							
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 200Y							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 200Y						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						

<u>Variance Details</u>							
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007							
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						