

Owner / Applicant Information

Bill Beven  
Franciscan St Anothony Health Michicagn City  
301 W HOMER STREET  
  
MICHIGAN CITY IN 46360  
Phone 2198771226  
Email BILL.BEVEN@FRANCISCANALLIANCE.ORG

Submitter Information

Derek Holman  
RTM Consultants, Inc.  
6640 Parkdale PI Suite J  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3173297700  
Email holman@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

James Walsh  
Cannon Design  
1100 Clark Ave  
  
St. Louis MO  
  
Phone 3144258742  
Email jwalsh@cannondesign.com

Project Information

FSAH Michigan City Replacement Hospital  
3688 N Frontage Rd

MICHIGAN CITY IN

County LAPORTE

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

### Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC Section 905.4

Conditions: The standpipe hose connections have been provided on the main landings and not at the intermediate landings as required by code.

#### DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

1) The building is provided with automatic sprinkler protection throughout.  
2) The local fire department is not opposed to the locations of the standpipes (please see provided documentation).  
3) Similar variances have been provided in the past including 18-07-39, 16-12-48 and 16-04-55.

#### DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

The hardship would be the cost and site restrictions to relocate the standpipes. The standpipes have already been installed and the construction of the project is well underway.