

Owner / Applicant Information

Rajinder Singh
Cassopolis Property LLC
1606 CASSOPOLIS ST

ELKHART IN 46514

Phone 5742100052

Email RAJINDERSINGH6@AOL.COM

Submitter Information

Carrie Ballinger
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale Place, Ste J

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email ballinger@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

John F Spalding
SPALDING DESIGN GROUP, LLC
106 LINCOLN WAY EAST

MISHAWAKA IN

Phone 5742552422

Email JOHN@SPALDINGDESIGNGROUP.COM

Project Information

R & A Cassopolis Property LLC
1606 Cassopolis St

ELKHART IN 46514

County ELKHART

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IMC Sec. 304.11

Conditions: A new 1-story convenience store building has rooftop equipment less than 10 feet from the roof edge without required guards.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. Permanent tie-off anchor will be provided to comply with OSHA regulations for fall protection.
2. The roof is low slope - 1/2 inch per foot.
3. The 2015 IMC permits the use of fall protection restraints in lieu of guards.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Construction is complete, equipment and tie-off anchor is already installed. It would be costly to relocate equipment, while safety is provided with the tie-off anchor for fall protection.