Owner / Applicant Information					
Mahesh Patel					
Kripalu LLC					
4826 TAZAR DRIVE					
LAFAYETTE IN 47905					
Phon∈ 7652699301					
Email BI4573@GMAIL.COM					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash St.					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
MICHAEL L MAUST					
Maust Architectural Services, Inc.					
112 North Main Street					
Goshen IN					
Phon∈ 5745378500					
Email michaelmaust@maustarchitects.com					
Project Information					
Lafayette Staybridge Inn					
320 Meijer Drive					
LAFAYETTE IN 47905					
County TIPPECANOE					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
<u>violation issaed by:</u>					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 7659071040 Email: mgick@lafayette.in.gov					
Local Fire Official  Phono: 7450071040 Fmail: dathemas@lafavette in gav.					
Phone: 7659071040 Email: dathomas@lafayette.in.gov					

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	ne: ASME A17.1 2007						
	3.26.8						
Conditions:	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04						
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana.  Please reference variance #14-05-04						

## Variance Details ASME A17.1 2007 Code Name: 3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5 This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Conditions: Please reference variance #14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). ASME A17.1 2007 Facts: This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an

ASME A17.1 2007

Facts:

This is new hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance #14-05-04