Owner / Applicant Information					
Steve Paul					
833 LINCOLN HIGHWAY SUITE 120W SCHERERVILLE IN 46375					
Phon∈ 2197425825					
Email STEVEPAUL@CORECONSTRUCTION.COM					
Submitter Information					
Donna Willis					
thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash St.					
Indianapolis IN					
Phone 3178417321					
Email donna.willis@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Kate Kvamme					
ICON Architectural Group 4000 Garden View Dr.					
Suite 101					
Grand Forks ND					
Phon∈ 7017724266					
Email katek@iconarchitects.com					
Project Information					
FOH Fairfield Inn & Suites					
708 N 600 E					
Fair Oaks IN 47943					
County JASPER					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 2192856080 Email: ncbuilding@newtoncounty.in.gov					
Local Fire Official Phone: 2192856080 Email: blancaster@dhs.in.gov					

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	2: Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.26.8 ASME A17.1 2007						
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance # 14-05-04.						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts: ASME A17.1 2007  This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Please reference variance # 14-05-04.							
							DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007						
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana.  Please reference variance # 14-05-04						

Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	3.19.4.1,3.19.4.4,3.19.4.5					
Conditions:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance # 14-05-04.					
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:						
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance # 14-05-04.					
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					
Facts:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the state of Indiana. Please reference variance # 14-05-04.					

Variance Details