Owner / Applicant Information			
Richard Miller			
Indiana American Water - Richmond			
153 NORTH EMERSON AVENUE			
GREENWOOD IN 46143			
Phone 3178852439			
Email RICHARD.H.MILLER@AMWATER.COM			
Culturitten Information			
Submitter Information			
Christina RTM Consultants Collester			
RTM Consultants 6640 Parkdale Place			
0040 Faikuale Flace			
Indianapolis IN			
Phon∈ 3173297700			
Email collester@rtmconsultants.com			
Design on Information			
<u>Designer Information</u>			
Zheng-Ming Wang			
Hazen and Sawyer 4011 WestChase Blvd			
4011 Westchase Bivu			
Raleigh NC			
Phone 9197558568			
Email mwang@hazenandsawyer.com			
Project Information			
Middle Fork WTP Improvements			
1730 Sylvan Nook Drive			
1730 Sylvan Nook Brive			
RICHMOND IN 47374			
County WAYNE			
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy			
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled			
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No			
Violation Issued by: NA			
Local Building Official			
Phone: 7659837268 Email: bbudd@richmondindiana.gov			
Local Fire Official Phono: 7650837368 Fmail: mdavis@richmondindiana.gov			
Phone: 7659837268 Email: mdavis@richmondindiana.gov			

Var	iance	Detai	lς
v ai	Idiicc	Detai	IJ

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IBC 903.2.5.1

Conditions:

Sprinklers will not be provided in a water treatment building classified as a B, F-2 and H-4 Occupancy. Buildings that exceed the maximum allowable quantity of materials that are health hazards are classified as an H-4 Occupancy. The building will store Sodium Hypochlorite, Sodium Hydroxide, Ferric chloride, Sodium permanganate, Hydrofluosilicic acid and other toxic/corrosive liquid as attached, which are used in the water treatment process at the facility.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

The two story building is Type II-B construction. The H-4 occupancy occurs in a portion of the building that is a single story. The area of the building does not exceed the non-The chemical rooms are separated from the remainder of the structure by 3 hr fire walls.sprinklered allowable area for the F-2 and B occupancies.

The chemicals are non-combustible. No combustibles are stored in the H-4 occupancy.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	The hardship is the cost of installing and maintaining an automatic sprinkler protection in a building without a fire hazard. The Indiana deleted exception 4 to section 903.3.1.1.1 in the model code would permit the elimination of the sprinkler systems for these non-combustible rooms. In this case, the sprinkler system would not add any benefit to safety.