

Owner / Applicant Information

Kenneth Schell
Kenneth Schell
706 EAST LEWIS AND CLARK PKWY

CLARKSVILLE IN 47219

Phone 5027674106

Email KENNY.SCHELL@ASYLUMXTREME.COM

Submitter Information

Mike Hersker
Renaissance Design Build, INC
117 S. Indiana Ave

Sellersburg, IN 47172 IN

Phone 8122465897

Email rdbi@sbcglobal.net

Designer Information

Nathan Ray Grimes
Renaissance Design Build Inc
117 S Indiana Ave

Sellersburg IN

Phone 8122465897

Email RDBI@SBCGlobal.net

Project Information

Asylum Xtreme Xtremenasium
706 E Lewis and Clark Pkwy

CLARKSVILLE IN 47219

County CLARK

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ yes

Violation Issued by: LBD

Local Building Official

Phone: 8122831510

Email: rbarr@townofclarksville.com

Local Fire Official

Phone: 8122831510

Email: bwilson@cfdfire.com

Variance Details

Code Name: 12-4-12 Existing Buildings; Additions or Alterations
2014 IBC 603.1

Conditions: Building was constructed in 1988 under the UBC as a Type 2N & B Group Occupancy. Which did not allow combustible materials for the structure of the building without firewall, separation between tenant space. It would be cost prohibited to remove the walls to the existing occupancies (Office Depot, Big Lots) and this proposed A3 occupancy.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Existing demising walls to remain unchanged. Building is equipped with automatic sprinkler system.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: To install a new firewall between occupancies would severely disrupt the operation of the existing businesses.
Estimated cost of construction \$150 K would be cost prohibited.