Owner / Applicant Information						
Mark B Mynhier						
MB Mynhier, Architect						
7455 S STATE ROAD 5						
SOUTH WHITLEY IN 46787						
Phon∈ 2606093402						
Email MBM@MBMYNHIER.COM						
Submitter Information						
Mark B Mynhier						
MB Mynhier, Architect						
7455 S State Road 5						
South Whiltey IN						
Phon∈ 2606093402						
Email mbm@mbmynhier.com						
Email inbinembingfiller.com						
<u>Designer Information</u>						
Mark B Mynhier						
MB Mynhier, Architect						
7455 S State Road 5						
SOUTH WHITLEY IN						
SOUTH WHITEET IIV						
Phon∈ 2606093402						
Email mbm@mbmynhier.com						
<u>Project Information</u>						
Cleveland Amish School						
5700 West Whitley Road						
South Whitley IN 46787						
County WHITLEY						
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? yes Has Violation been Issued? No						
<u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 2602483111 Email: wcbuilding@whitleygov.com						
Local Fire Official						
Phone: 2602483111 Email: trier634@outlook.com						

Variance Deta	<u>iils</u>					
Code Name:	2014 IBC					
	2902.1					
Conditions:	This is a small Amish school. The drinking fountain required by table 2902.1 will not be installed.					
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:						
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
2	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	Bottled water will be provided for occupants.					
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
Y	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					
Facts:	This is a small rural Amish school with neither electricity or water service to serve a drinkir fountain available on site.					

## Variance Details Other Code (Not in the list provided) Code Name: 907.2.3 This is a small Amish school with an occupant load of less then 70. The manual fire alarm Conditions: system required by Section 907.2.3 will not be installed. DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 2 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). Provide interconnected, long life battery smoke and heat detectors throughout the building. Facts: DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) Υ because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Facts: This small two-room school will have a fully operational interconnected smoke and heat detector/alarm system throughout the building. Adequate notice to evacuate the building will be provided via this system. The only difference between the system proposed and the required system is the addition of a manual pull station at the exit doors. Given the small size

architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

of the building these pull stations are not necessary.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an