

Owner / Applicant Information

Ann Luther
Phoenix Theatre
749 N. PARK AVE.

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46202

Phone 3172949300

Email PROGRAMCHAIRISPE@GMAIL.COM

Submitter Information

Edwin Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

WILLIAM Browne, Jr., FAIA
RATIO Design
101 S. Pennsylvania Street

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3176334040

Email bbrowne@ratiodesign.com

Project Information

Phoenix Theatre
705 N Illinois St

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

County MARION

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ yes

Violation Issued by: LBD

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
1011.4, 2014 IBC

Conditions: Tactile exit signs have been cited at exterior exit doors. The code states that they are required adjacent to each door to an area of refuge, an exterior area for assisted rescue, an exit stairway, an exit ramp, an exit passageway and the exit discharge.

The owner contends that they are not required at exterior exit doors per code language.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The exterior exit door is an exit component per IBC definition, it is not part of the exit discharge per IBC definition. Sec. 1011.3 does not enumerate exterior exit doors as requiring tactile signs. It does, however, enumerate doors to egress stairways.
2. A No Variance Required ruling was issued by the Commission for an identical variance in the case of 18-02-43c.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Hardship is providing tactile signs at locations other than those specified by the IBC.