

Owner / Applicant Information

Ben Muhlenkamp

15535 LINCOLN HWY E.

NEW HAVEN IN 46774

Phone 2607494525

Email FRBEN@STLOUISB.ORG

Designer Information

Josh Pranger

Pranger Group Inc.

343 Airport North Office Park

Ft. Wayne, IN

Phone 2604714901

Email jbp@prangergroup.com

Project Information

St. Louis Besancon Catholic Church

15535 Lincoln Hwy E.

New Haven 46774

County ALLEN

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☒ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2014 IFC

Fire Code Section 1015.1.1

Conditions: Thee exits or exit access doorways shall be provided from any space with an occupant load of 501-1000. Four exits or exit access doorways shall be provided from any space with an occupancy load greater than 1000.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Cutting in a third door and, after completion of the project removing the door, and refilling the block for the wall of building would cost over \$5000, which money could/would be better spent on permanent aspects of the project. There will be no activities within the structure other than school lunches and Physical Education classes while the variance is in effect. The occupancy load will be lowered to 100 until September of 2018, or when building is completed, whichever is sooner.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: