

Owner / Applicant Information

Richard Allen
HRI Properties
812 GRAVIER STREET
SUITE 200
NEW ORLEANS LA 70112
Phone 5045660204
Email RALLEN@HRIPROPERTIES.COM

Submitter Information

Edwin Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place
Suite J
Indianapolis IN
Phone 3173277700
Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

William Browne, Jr., FAIA
Ratio Design
101 South Pennsylvania Street
Indianapolis IN
Phone 3176334040
Email bbrowne@ratiodesign.com

Project Information

Indy Hyatt Dual Brand
134-140 South Pennsylvania Street

Indianapolis IN 46204

County MARION

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
307.4.2, 2014 IFC

Conditions: An art exhibit featuring a flame and a fire pit will be located on the 2nd level outdoor terrace, and within 25 feet of the building exterior wall. Based upon classification as a recreational fire, location withing 25 feet of a structure is not permitted.

The Hyatt project includes a 15-story hotel structure, with an adjacent 7-story parking garage.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The building and roof surface will be of noncombustible construction.

2. The building is protected throughout with an automatic sprinkler system per NFPA 13.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The proposed features are typical of those in other restaurant and outdoor terrace settings.