Owner / Applicant Information
Tony Schantz
Legacy Living Senior Partners Jasper, LLC
8463 CASTLEWOOD DRIVE
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46250
Phon∈ 3178130534
Email TSCHANTZ@LEGACYLIVING.BIZ
Submitter Information
Melissa Tupper
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale Place
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3173297700
Email tupper@rtmconsultants.com
Designer Information
MATTHEW MAYOL
Odle McGuire Shook
429 N Pennsylvania Street
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3178420000
Email mmayol@omscorp.net
<u>Project Information</u>
Legacy Living of Jasper
1850 W State Road 56
JASPER IN 47546
County DUBOIS
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F = Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?
Violation Issued by: NA
VIOIGION ISSUEGE BY.
Local Building Official
Phone: 3172321407 Email: cdeel@dhs.in.gov
Local Fire Official Phone: 3173331407 Freell: firesphiof@iconscindings.gov
Phone: 3172321407 Email: firechief@jasperindiana.gov

	D
Variance	Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IBC, 1018.1

Conditions:

The project involves a new skilled nursing home and assisted living facility. The variance request is to permit the opening in the corridor wall of the assisted living facility to be protected by a draft curtain and closely spaced sprinklers in accordance with NFPA 13 in lieu of a 1-hour corridor wall and 20-minute rated openings.

The building is a skilled nursing home and assisted living facility, I-1 & I-2 Occupancy. The building is divided in two by a fire wall. A portion of the building is 1-story and a portion is 2-stories. The building is Type VA Construction. The building is protected throughout with an automatic sprinkler system in accordance with NFPA 13.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to
ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or
welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

2

- 1. The building will be protected throughout with an automatic sprinkler system in accordance with NFPA 13.
- 2. A fire alarm system will be installed throughout the building.
- 3. Smoke detection will be provided throughout the corridors and areas open to the corridor.
- 4. This is a similar approach to protecting exit stairs with a draft curtain and closely spaced sprinklers and therefore is not adverse to public health, welfare, or safety.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	The owner wishes to maintain the openness of this portion of the wall to help make the assisted living facility feel less institutional.