Owner / Applicant Information						
Steven D Hazelwood						
Grant-Blackford Mental Health Inc.						
505 N. WABASH AVE.						
MARION IN 46952						
Phone 7656686739						
Email STEVEN.HAZELWOOD@CORNERSTONE.ORG						
Project Information						
Cornerstone						
505 N. Wabash Ave.						
Marion 46952						
County GRANT						
Project Type New Addition Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? yes Has Violation been Issued? yes						
Violation Issued by: SFM						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 7656686739 Email: jfoustnight@cityofmarion.in.gov						
Local Fire Official						
Phone: 7656686739 Email: gwilliams@cityofmarion.in.gov						

## Variance Details

Code Name: 12-4-9 Maintenance of Existing Buildings and Structures 675 IAC 12-4-9(a)

Conditions: removal of old fire hoses in cabinets by recommendation of the local fire Marshall. Fire extinguishers have replace them in the mag-lock boxes. The mag-lock boxes are monitored by our Koorsen fire and security system. Local fire department has sufficient hoses to handle our 2 story facility. Our Building is a 2 story with basement. lower occupancy rated as

business 1st. floor also business and 2nd floor inpatient hospital.

## DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: state fire marshal has inspected I have gave the local fire Marshall document to the inspector.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

1

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.



Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.



Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

none