Owner / Applicant Information						
Scott Jarred						
Jarred Bunch Consulting 9000 KEYSTONE CROSSING						
SUITE 450						
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240						
Phon∈ 3172021891						
Email SJARRED@JARREDBUNCH.COM						
<u>Submitter Information</u>						
Melissa Tupper						
RTM Consultants, Inc. 6640 Parkdale Place						
Suite J						
Indianapolis IN						
Phon∈ 3173297700						
Email tupper@rtmconsultants.com						
Designer Information						
Kyle Douglas Copelin						
Epoch Architecture + Planning 300 W Jefferson Blvd						
See W seller self 200						
South Bend IN						
Phon∈ 5743079990						
Email kylec@epoch-design.com						
Project Information						
Office Remodel/ Addition						
3625 E 96th St						
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240						
County MARION						
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued? yes						
Violation Issued by: LBD						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 3173278700 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov						
Local Fire Official   Phone:   3173278700   Email:   margie.bovard@indy.gov						
Thomas 3173270700 Email. margic.bovaruemuy.gov						

<u>Variance Deta</u>	<u>IIIS</u>
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)
	2009 ANSI A117.1, 404.2.5
Conditions:	The distance between two doors in series, plus door width, is 75 inches leaving 39 inches when the door is fully open. Code requires 48 inches when the door is fully open.
	The project is a renovation of an existing office building.
<u>DEMON</u>	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
2	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).
Facts:	1. An automatic door opener will be provided on one set of vestibule doors in a series.
	2. The 60 inch turning space has been provided in the vestibule and a 30" x 48" clear floor has been provided to allow for a parallel approach to the door from the vestibule into the office.
	3. The code does not have exceptions that would permit less than 48" between doors in a series when clearances have been provided that would permit a parallel approach.
	4. Non-compliance is not adverse to public health, welfare, or safety based upon a parallel approach being provided as well as automatic door openers.
<u>DEMONS</u>	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

This was cited during a construction inspection after the vestibule was constructed, it was not cited during state or city plan review. The cost to modify the vestibule to provide 48 inches, plus the width of the door swinging into the space, is \$22,000.

Facts:

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>				
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)				
	2014 IBC, 1109.3				
Conditions:	Sinks located in the training room and in the library have been installed in cabinets that are 36" above the floor and therefore it does not meet the accessibility requirements of Chapter 11.				
	The project is a renovation of an existing office building.				
DEMO	NSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:				
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w				
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).				
Facts:	1. The sinks in the restrooms and kitchen comply with the accessibility requirements of Chapter 11.				
	2. A parallel approach has been provided at the sink, as permitted for kitchen sinks where a cook top or conventional range is not provided. The faucet can be operated from a parallel approach.				
	3. The sink is not a required plumbing fixture and has been provided for convenience, to pour a drink down the sink or for water to clean up a spill without having to walk to the kitchen.				
DEMONS	TRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.				
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.				
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.				
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure				
Facts: This was cited during a construction inspection after the sinks were installed, it we during state or city plan review. The cost to modify the built-in casework to provide sinks is \$11,000.					