

Owner / Applicant Information

Elizabeth Garfield  
Henke Development Group  
20298 TOMLINSON ROAD

WESTFIELD IN 46074

Phone 3174398803

Email BETSY.GARFIELD@HENKEDEVELOPMENT.COM

Submitter Information

Rebecca Foste  
Thyssenkrupp Elevator  
8665 Bash St.

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178417455

Email rebecca.foste@Thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Vic Jones  
S Vic Jones and Associates  
13217 Woodhaven Dr.

Dallas TX

Phone 3175551212

Email svic3@aol.com

Project Information

Chatham Hills  
1100 Chatham Hills Blvd

Westfield IN 46074

County HAMILTON

Project Type New ☒ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)  
3.26.8 ASME A17.1 2007

Conditions: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5

Conditions: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1
---

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y
---

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

