Owner / Applicant Information					
Warren Whitesell					
DePauw University					
801 S. JACKSON STREET					
GREENCASTLE IN 46135					
Phon∈ 7656584229					
Email WARRENWHITESELL@DEPAUW.EDU					
Submitter Information					
Becca Foste					
Thyssenkrupp Elevator					
8665 Bash St					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3178417411					
Email rebecca.foste@thyssenkrupp.com					
Designer Information					
Eric Rowland					
Rowland Design, Inc.					
702 North Capitol Avenue					
Indianapolis IN					
Phon∈ 3176363980					
Email erowland@rowlanddesign.com					
<u>Project Information</u>					
Robert G. Bottoms Alumni and Development Ctr					
201 East Seminary Street					
GREENCASTLE IN 46135					
County PUTNAM					
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy					
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled					
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?					
Violation Issued by: NA					
Local Building Official					
Phone: 7658481529 Email: pthibodeau@cityofgreencastle.com					
Local Fire Official					
Phone: 7658481529 Email: jburgess@cityofgreencastle.com					

Variance Deta	<u>iils</u>						
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.26.8 ASME A17.1 2007						
Conditions:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indian Reference variance # 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						
Facts:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>						
Code Name:	me: Other Code (Not in the list provided)						
	3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5						
Conditions:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:							
1	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w						
	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).						
Facts:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04						
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:							
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.						
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.						
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure						

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04

Facts: