Owner / Applicant Information		
Coffee Creek AlL		
AIL Coffee Creek LLC		
1513 CLOVER LANE		
DVED IN ACCOUNT		
DYER IN 46311		
Phon∈ 5742340124		
Email JSNOVOTNY@PANZICA.NET		
Submitter Information		
Jacque Espinoza		
thyssenkrupp Elevator		
355 Eisenhower Lane South		
Lombard IN		
Phon∈ 6306524051		
Email jackie.espinoza@thyssenkrupp.com		
Project Information		
Residence at Coffee Creek		
2300 Village Point		
Chesterton IL 46304		
County PORTER		
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy		
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled		
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No		
<u>Violation Issued by:</u> NA		
Local Building Official		
Local Building Official Phone: 2197281336 Email: modell@chestertonin.org		
Local Building Official Phone: 2197281336 Email: modell@chestertonin.org Local Fire Official		
Local Building Official Phone: 2197281336 Email: modell@chestertonin.org		

Variance Details		
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007	
	3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5 a	
Conditions:	This type of elevator is safe and is being used in other buildings in Indiana and across the country. This is hydraulic MRL technology which the Indiana code has not yet adopted. Please reference approved variance # 14-05-04.	
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:		
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w	
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).	
Facts:	This type of elevator is safe and is being used in other buildings in Indiana and across the country. This is hydraulic MRL technology which the Indiana code has not yet adopted. Please reference approved variance # 14-05-04.	
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:		
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.	
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.	
Y	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.	

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Building will loose usable space if they need to build a machine room

Facts: