Owner /	Applicant	<u>Information</u>

Marc Pfleging Wilshaw LLC 8801 RIVER CROSSING BLVD SUITE 300 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240

Phon∈ 3178435959

Email MARCP@SCANNELLPROPERTIES.COM

## Submitter Information

Melissa Tupper RTM Consultants, Inc. 6640 Parkdale Place Suite J Indianapolis IN

Phon€ 3173297700

Email tupper@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information Brian C Schubert

DkGr 10 West Market Street

Indianapolis IN

Phon€ 3176140053

Email brian.schubert@dkgrar.com

Project Information Wilshaw Multi-Family 16th & Main St
SPEEDWAY IN 46224
County MARION
Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Phone: 3172464122 Email: jmerritt@speedwayin.gov
Local Fire Official
Phone: 3172464122 Email: nalexander@speedwayin.gov

Variance	Details
variance	Details

Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 IFC, 912.2

Conditions: Code requires fire department connections to be placed as required by local ordinance. The variance request is to permit wall mounted fire department connections at two of the three buildings. The local ordinance requires fire department hose connections to be free standing and remote from the building.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This has been reviewed with the local fire official and they are not opposed to the variance.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:



1

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.



Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.



Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

		1

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Due to the site conditions fire department connections cannot be free standing for two of the three buildings on this site. There is a zero setback for the multi-family building as well as the potential to conflict with pedestrians on the sidewalk if it were free standing. Where the building services come in for the hotel building is a tight footprint, placing on the wall of the building helps to alleviate some of the congestion.