

Owner / Applicant Information

Henry Gugumuck  
Alfa Laval Inc  
5400 INTERNATIONAL TRADE DRIVE  
  
RICHMOND VA 23231  
Phone 8046470253  
Email HENRY.GUGUMUCK@ALFALAVAL.COM

Submitter Information

Rebecca Foste  
Thyssenkrupp Elevator  
8665 Bash St.  
  
Indianapolis IN  
Phone 3178417455  
Email rebecca.foste@Thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Bernard J. Trauner  
Cripe  
3939 Priority Way S Drive  
  
Indianapolis IN  
Phone 3177066495  
Email dtrauner@cripe.biz

Project Information

Alfa Laval Building Expansion  
200 South Park Boulevard

GREENWOOD IN 46143

County JOHNSON

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
3.26.8

Conditions: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference Variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference Variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference Variance # 14-05-04
--

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007  
3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5

Conditions: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference Variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference Variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference Variance # 14-05-04
--

