Owner / Applicant Information						
Matt Stump						
Wilshaw LLC						
8801 RIVER CROSSING BLVD						
SUITE 300 INDIANAPOLIS IN 46240						
Phon∈ 3178435959						
Email MARCP@SCANNELLPROPERTIES.COM						
<u>Submitter Information</u>						
Rebecca Foste						
Thyssenkrupp Elevator						
8665 Bash St.						
Indianapolis IN						
Phon∈ 3178417455						
Email rebecca.foste@Thyssenkrupp.com						
<u>Designer Information</u>						
Craig Penquite						
DkGr						
10 West Market Street						
Indianapolis IN						
Phon∈ 3176140053						
Email craig.penquite@dkgrar.com						
<u>Project Information</u>						
Wilshaw Multi-Family						
16th & Main St						
SPEEDWAY IN 46224						
County MARION						
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 3172464121 Email: jmerritt@speedwayin.gov						
Local Fire Official						
Phone: 3172464121 Email: bfishburn@speedwayin.gov						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>					
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	3.26.8					
Conditions:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04					
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:						
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana Reference variance # 14-05-04					
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Y	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					
Facts: This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Reference variance # 14-05-04						

Variance Details ASME A17.1 2007 Code Name: 3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5 This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Conditions: Reference variance # 14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Facts: Reference variance # 14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an

Reference variance # 14-05-04

Facts: