

Owner / Applicant Information

Craig Von Deylen
Craig Von Deylen
1 NORTH MERIDIAN ST.
SUITE 410
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46202
Phone 3176382000
Email CRAIG@DEYLEN.COM

Submitter Information

Rebecca Foste
Thyssenkrupp Elevator
8665 Bash St

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178417455
Email rebecca.foste@thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

SCOTT BARTEN PERKINS
Blackline
1 North Meridian
Suite 400
Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178037900
Email perkins@blacklinestudio.net

Project Information

Murphy Arts Center 2017 Renovation
1043 Virginia Ave

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46203

County MARION

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007
3.26.8

Conditions:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1 2007
3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5

Conditions:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- ☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04
--

