Owner / Applicant Information						
Sam Fugate						
Craftmark Bakery - Jim Zakian						
5202 EXPLORATION DRIVE						
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46241 - 3						
Phon∈ 8033153664						
Email SFUGATE@PEPPERCONSTRUCTION.COM						
<u>Submitter Information</u>						
Rebecca Foste						
Thyssenkrupp Elevator						
8665 Bash St.						
Indianapolis IN -						
Phon∈ 3178417455						
Email rebecca.foste@thyssenkrupp.com						
Designer Information						
Don Olson						
ESI Design Services						
950 Walnut Ridge Drive						
Hartland WI -						
Phon∈ 2623693543						
Email dolson@esigroupusa.com						
Project Information						
Craftmark Bakery LLC						
5202 Exploration Dr						
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46241 -						
County MARION						
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy						
Project Status F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled						
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?						
Violation Issued by: NA						
Local Building Official						
Phone: 3173274104 Email: planreview.class1@indy.gov						
Local Fire Official   Phone: 3173274104   Email: thayden@decaturfire.org						
THORE. ST73274104 Litiati. triaydene decaturine.org						

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>					
Code Name:	ASME A17.1 2007					
	3.26.8					
Conditions:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04					
DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:						
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w					
1	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).					
Facts:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04					
DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:						
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.					
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.					
Y	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.					
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure					
Facts:	This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04					

## Variance Details ASME A17.1 2007 Code Name: 3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5 Conditions: This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance # 14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED: 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w 1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Facts: Reference variance # 14-05-04 DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE: Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services. Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

This is new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an

Reference variance # 14-05-04

Facts: