| Owner / Applicant Information   |
|---|
| Steven D Hazelwood  |
| Grant-Blackford Mental Health Inc.  |
| 505 N. WABASH AVE.  |
| MADION IN 4/052   |
| MARION IN 46952   |
| Phon∈ 7656686739  |
| Email STEVEN.HAZELWOOD@CORNERSTONE.ORG  |
|   |
| <u>Project Information</u>  |
| Cornerstone   |
| 505 N. Wabash Ave.  |
| Marion 46952  |
| WallOff 40932   |
| County GRANT  |
| Project Type New Addition Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy               |
| Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled  |
| IDHS Issued Correction order? yes Has Violation been Issued? yes                  |
| <u>Violation Issued by:</u> SFM   |
| Local Duilding Official   |
| Local Building Official Phone: 7656686739 Email: steven.hazelwood@cornerstone.org |
| Local Fire Official   |
| Phone: 7656686739 Email: steven.hazelwood@cornerstone.org                         |
|   |

## Variance Details

Code Name: 1985 Indiana Fire Prevention Code (675 IAC 22-2)

Conditions: Fire hoses were removed from cabnits and approved by local fire marshall

## DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

|        | . Hore compliance marked rate milities so datered to the patient reality called  |
|--------|--|
| 2      | 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific). |
| Facts: | Will provide apron request   |
| DEMONS | STRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:  |
|        | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.  |
|        | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.   |
|        | Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.   |
|        | Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure  |
| Facts: | Will provide upon request  |