

Owner / Applicant Information

Karla Snider
Cosmopolitan on the Canal
310 W. MICHIGAN ST

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46202

Phone 3176241234

Email KSNIDER@FLCO.COM

Submitter Information

Timothy Callas
J & T Consulting, LLC
8220 Rob Lane

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178894300

Email tcallas@jtconsult.us

Project Information

Sandwich Shop Cosmopolitan on the Canal
310 W. Michigan St

Indianapolis IN 46202

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☒ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2014 IMC 506.4.2

Conditions:

The existing seasonal sandwich shop located at an existing apartment complex has a Type II hood for a small conveyor Pizza oven that discharges within 29 inches of an existing building air intake. Code requires a minimum of 3 feet separation. This condition has existed since the early 2000s.
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

1. The building is protected with an automatic fire suppression system per NFPA 13. 2. The pizza oven is for small frozen pizzas no raw fatty proteins or products on pizza.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y

 Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts:

The owner's undue hardship involves this is an existing condition for the past approximately 16 years and has been inspected every year with no discussion.
