

Owner / Applicant Information

Tom Tomaszewski  
The Annex Group  
19350 Harlem Ave  
SUITE 201  
Frankfort IL 60423  
Phone 7089600356  
Email tom@theannexgrp.com

Submitter Information

Ralph Gerdes  
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC  
5510 S. East St.  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3177873750  
Email Ralph@rgc-codes.com

Designer Information

Alan Robert Tucker  
CSO Architects  
8831 Keystone Crossing  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3178487800  
Email ATucker@csoinc.net

Project Information

Annex 41  
224 N Third St

Terre Haute IN 47809

County VIGO

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

### Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, 1109.2

Conditions: New four (4) story building of apartment units is required to have appropriate clear floor space for showers in each apartment. After relocating shower head and controls during construction, the clear floor space for the shower does not comply with code.

#### DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. First and Second Floor showers will have shower head and controls relocated back to original location for code compliance.  
2. Any need for wheelchair users located on Third or Fourth Floors will have shower remodeled, at Owner's cost, to comply with code.

#### DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Apartment unit showers have been installed. Cost to reconfigure all the showers is significant. Owner will reconfigure half of the showers to accommodate future disabled users.