

Owner / Applicant Information

Tyler Bohunsky  
Tyler Bohunsky  
4915 ROCKVILLE ROAD  
8400 NORTHWEST BLVD.  
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46224  
Phone 3174350678  
Email TBOHUNSKY@HOTMAIL.COM

Submitter Information

Samuel Hurt  
The Engineering Collaborative  
2410 Executive Dr  
Suite 100  
Indianapolis IN  
Phone 3176363941  
Email sam@tec-mep.com

Designer Information

Samuel Hurt  
The Engineering Collaborative  
2410 Executive Dr.  
Suite 100  
Indianapolis IN  
Phone 3176363941  
Email sam@tec-mep.com

Project Information

Bohunsky Service Garage  
3517 Rockville Road

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46224

County MARION

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

### Variance Details

Code Name: 2012 Indiana Plumbing Code.

1003.4

Conditions: The IPC has no requirement for a floor drain in an automotive garage. The Owner will recycle oil and clean-up small spills via absorbent material and mechanical removal (which was documented to State Plan Review). Since there is no drain in the repair bays, the 1003.4 requirement for oil interception does not apply but the City of Indianapolis argues that the mop sink should be subject to draining through an oil interceptor.

#### DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☐ 2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: The project was granted a design release by State Plan Review on the basis of the oil collection and clean-up procedures explained by the Owner. The City of Indianapolis believes that a variance is required to allow this.

#### DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The Owner will have to spend significant money for something he doesn't need.