

Owner / Applicant Information

Denny Frey  
SHELBY COUNTY CO-OP RAYS CROSSING  
5961 E. 200 NORTH  
  
SHELBYVILLE IN 46176  
Phone 8125286588  
Email DENNY@SHELBYCOUNTYCO-OP.COM

Submitter Information

Crystal Kent  
Kent Architecture & Consulting  
215 W Hendricks St  
  
Shelbyville IN  
  
Phone 3175129111  
Email cdnkent@gmail.com

Designer Information

Mark Anthony Walsh  
Walsh engineering services  
8826 Southeastern Services  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3178624738  
Email mark@walengsvc.com

Project Information

SHELBY COUNTY CO-OP RAYS CROSSING  
5961 E 200 N

SHELBYVILLE IN 46176

County SHELBY

Project Type New ☒ Y Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ yes Has Violation been Issued? ☒ No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

### Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC 903.2.9

Conditions: The code requires Group S-1 occupancy to be provided with a sprinkler system where the fire area exceeds 12,000 sq. ft. The request is to allow a 12,800 sq. ft. dry fertilizer storage building (S-1 occupancy) to not be sprinklered. The building is primarily used for storage of dry fertilizers. Proponent (owner, Denny Frey) states there will be infrequent employee access and that water supply for an automatic sprinkler system is not available to the site.

#### DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

☐ 2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Similar variances (17-10-26 for an 18,000 dry fertilizer bldg and 17-04-67 for a 37,000 sf S-1 bldg) have been granted in the past. Erection of a fire barrier to reduce the fire area would interfere with operations. 2 exits are required, 5 are provided.

#### DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☒ Y

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: