

Owner / Applicant Information

Tom Theobald  
Exeter 267 Land LLC  
140 W GERMANTOWN PIKE #150  
  
PLYMOUTH MEETING PA 19462  
Phone 3176147266  
Email TTHEOBALD@EXETERPG.COM

Submitter Information

Christina Collester  
RTM Consultants, Inc.  
6640 Parkdale place, Suite J  
  
indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3173297700  
Email collester@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Andrew Caldwell Churchill  
JRA Architecture, LLC  
7222 N Shadeland Ave #200  
  
Indianapolis IN  
  
Phone 3178061060  
Email bhicks@jra-arch.com

Project Information

Whitestown Business Center Building 1  
3522 Perry Blvd

WHITESTOWN IN 46075

County BOONE

Project Type New ☒ Addition ☐ Alteration ☐ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☐ Has Violation been Issued? ☐

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:  Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:  Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: 2010 Indiana Energy Conservation Code (675 IAC 19-4)

Section 5.4.3.3

Conditions: Loading Dock Weatherseals will not be provided on all loading dock doors. Weatherseals are required by the energy code to restrict infiltration when vehicles are parked in the doorway.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Dock doors have been installed in the speculative warehouse in numbers which exceed the amount likely required for operation. Dock doors not completed will not be usable. Weatherseals would be added if and when additional dock equipment, such as levelers were added.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☐ Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☒ Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐ Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The financial hardship is the excessive cost of adding additional loading doors in the future, relative to the cost of installing the doors at this time. Based on market conditions, we believe it is unlikely that a tenant for this speculative building would need more than the fully equipped dock positions w/ levelers shown on the released drawings.