Owner / Applicant Information
Greg Graham
Ball State University
3401 NORTH TILLOTSON AVE
MUNCIE IN 47306
Phon∈ 7652852828
Email GGRAHAM@BSU.EDU
Submitter Information
Ralph Gerdes
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC
5510 S. East St.
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3177873750
Email rgerdesconsultants@ameritech.net
Designer Information
John Rigsbee
CSO Architects
8831 Keystone Crossing
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3178487800
Email jrigsbee@csoinc.net
Zmaii jingabaca asama.mat
Project Information
Ball State University
1585 North McKinley
Muncie IN 47306
County DELAWARE
Project Type New Y Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official
Phone: 7657474862 Email: rlorrison@cityofmuncie.com
Local Fire Official
Phone: 7657474862 Email: dmiller@cityofmuncie.com

	D
Variance	Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, Table 601

Conditions: N

New Dining Facility of Type IIA (1 hour) noncombustible construction will have a portion of the roof construction non-rated (0 hour). Non-rated roof portion will have approximately 28 feet clearance from first level. Given a partial second floor is within approximately 12 feet of the roof structure entire roof is required to be one (1) hour fire-rated. Footnote (b) requires the entire roof structure to have 20 feet clearance to eliminate the one (1) hour fire-rating.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts:

- 1. As required by code, the building will be sprinklered per NFPA 13.
- 2. Sprinkler system for portion of non-protected roof structure (generally seating area) will be upgraded from Light Hazard occupancy to Ordinary Hazard Group 1.
- 3. Number of exits and capacity for first level exceeds minimum code requirements.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty)

	because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	Given the limited fire loading of the seating area and the upgraded sprinkler system, need and cost of fireproofing and finish ceiling is questionable.

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, Table 705.8

Conditions:

Existing dormitory (LaFollette Hall) will have exterior openings that exceed amount permitted by code. With construction of New Dining Facility portions of LaFollette Hall have been demolished. New assumed property line results in dormitory openings closer to new assumed property line. Dormitory will be within three (3) feet of assumed line which is not permitted to have openings. Building has 57% unprotected openings on elevation facing LaFollette Hall.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

2 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. Remaining portion of LaFollette Hall will be demolished after construction on two (2) new dormitories. After construction of New Dining Facility timeline for demolition of LaFollette Hall is approximately three (3) years

approximately three (3) years.

2. New Dining Facility will be sprinklered. NFPA 80A-2017 Edition, Sec. 5.6.4 states that exposed building (New Dining Facility) has substantially reduced exposure with sprinklers. Sec. 5.6.3 notes that the new facility does not pose any hazard to dorm.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	Condition will be temporary until construction of two (2) new dormitories. New building will be sprinklered greatly reducing hazard. LaFollette Hall needs to be in use during design and construction of new dormitories.