Owner / Applicant Information
Darren T Hess
Fort Wayne Community Schools
1519 CATALPA STREET
FORT WAYNE IN 46802
Phon∈ 2604672078
Email DARREN.HESS@FWCS.K12.IN.US
Submitter Information
Edwin L Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place
Indianapolis IN
Phon∈ 3173297700
Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com
<u>Designer Information</u>
Pat D Pasterick, AIA
Design Collaborative
200 East Main Street
Fort Wayne IN
Phon∈ 2604224241
Email ppasterick@designcollaborative.com
Project Information
Lane Middle School Renovation
4901 Vance Avenue
Fort Wayne IN 46815
County ALLEN
Project Type New Addition Y Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy
Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled
IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No
Violation Issued by: NA
Local Building Official Phone: 2604997131 Email: john.caywood@co.allen.in.us
Phone: 2604997131 Email: john.caywood@co.allen.in.us Local Fire Official
Phone: 2604997131 Email: jim.murua@cityoffortwayne.org

variance Details	Variance	Details
------------------	----------	---------

12-4-11 Change of Occupancy or Use of Existing Buildings Code Name:

Rule 4, Section 12(f), GAR

Conditions:

The proposed 725 Cooler/Freezer addition will not be separated from the existing middle school building with a fire wall or fire barrier. The separation is required based upon the existing building exceeding current code for allowable area (25,375 sq ft) for Type IIB Construction and also the sq ft limit for nonsprinklered fire area (12,000 sq ft).

The new cooler will replace an existing cooler of 140 sq ft. The project scope also includes installation of new mechanical and electrical systems, addition of air conditioning system, new domestic water system, new fire alarm system, and new finishes throughout. The existing building was constructed in 1964.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

- 1. The separation from the existing building will consist of the existing multi-wythe masonry exterior wall and a noncombustible freezer/cooler door.
- The addition will be structurally independent of the existing building.
 Based upon a normally unoccupied structure of noncombustible construction, the proposed separation in lieu of a fire wall will not be adverse to safety.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.	
Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.	
Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure	
Facts: The provision of a structurally independent fire wall and fire-rated freezer door would add considerable cost to this portion of the project, which is being bid as an alternate.	