Owner / Applicant Information Dave Otte		
901 MACARTHUR BLVD.		
MUNSTER IN 46321		
Phon∈ 2196897310		
Email DOTTE@COMHS.ORG		
Submitter Information		
Derek Holman RTM Consultants, Inc.		
6640 Parkdale Pl. Suite J		
Indianapolis IN		
Phon∈ 3173297700		
Email holman@rtmconsultants.com		
<u>Designer Information</u>		
Andrew Moats		
Shive-Hattery 57 Franklin St. Suite 201		
Valparaiso IN		
Phon∈ 2194761400		
Email amoats@shive-hattery.com		
Project Information		
SMMC - Immediate Care Center 1451 W Morthland Dr.		
Valparaiso IN 46385		
County PORTER Project Type New Addition Alteration V Existing Change of Occupancy		
Project Type New Addition Alteration Y Existing Change of Occupancy Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled		
IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?		
Violation Issued by: NA		
Local Building Official		
Phone: 2194621161 Email: vthrasher@valpo.us		
Local Fire Official Phone: 2194621161 Email: jdaly@valpo.us		
rnone. 2174021101 Linan. Juanyevaipu.us		

Variance Deta	<u>ils</u>
Code Name:	Other Code (Not in the list provided)
	2014 InBC Table 1018.1
Conditions:	1 hour fire partitions will not be provided in the corridors. Corridors in non-sprinklered B Occupancies that serve an occupant load of more than 30 are required to be provided with at least 1 hour fire partitions.
DEMO	ISTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:
	1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w
2	2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to

the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or

welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

1. A manual fire alarm system with corridor smoke detection will be provided (Not required by Facts:

code)
2. NFPA 101 permits corridors within a single tenant to be of non-rated construction.
3. This same variance has been granted in the past on numerous projects including 16-07-

11, 17-03-13 and 17-05-15.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
Υ	Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
	Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure
Facts:	Hardship would include the requirement to separate the nurse stations from the corridors. The design and operation of the building is to have the nurse stations open to the corridors. Also the cost of providing a 1 hour fire partition and all related door/windows in the fire rated construction.