

Owner / Applicant Information

Patrick Chittenden  
Midtown East Block 3 Office. LLC  
55 Monument Circle, Suite 450

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

Phone 3178999348

Email pchittenden@ambrosepg.com

Submitter Information

Ralph Gerdes  
Ralph Gerdes Consultants, LLC  
5510 S. East St.

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3177873750

Email rgerdesconsultants@ameritech.net

Designer Information

Alan Robert Tucker  
CSO Architects, Inc  
8831 Keystone Crossing

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178487800

Email atucker@csoinc.net

Project Information

MJ Insurance  
571 Monon Blvd

CARMEL IN 46032

County HAMILTON

Project Type New ☐ Addition ☐ Alteration ☒ Existing ☐ Change of Occupancy ☐

Project Status ☒ F F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? ☒ No Has Violation been Issued? ☒ yes

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

### Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

2014 InBC, 904.2

Conditions: Third floor computer server room will have gas extinguishing system in lieu of sprinklers. Building is fully sprinklered. Indiana code requires Commission to approve use of such systems and sprinkler trade-offs are not permitted for the building. We seek approval to use such system and allow sprinkler trade-offs.

#### DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Alternative gas extinguishing systems are commonly installed in computer applications.

#### DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

☒

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

☐

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

☐

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: Use of an alternative gas extinguishing system will not impact the fire and life safety for the building.